



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 7518/2026, CM APPL. 36425/2026 & CM APPL. 36427/2026
BATIK AIR SERVICES PVT LTDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Anish Gupta and Mr. Mudit
Gupta, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Adithya Srinivasan, SPC with
Mr. Rishi Raj Singh, Advocate for
UOI.

Mr. Rahul Tyagi, Standing Counsel
for ED, Ms. Priya Rai, Mr. Pawan
Gangwal, Ms. Bhawna Gandhi, Mr.
Aniket Kumar Singh and Mr. Priyansh
Raj Singh, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER
03.06.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, seeks the following prayers: -

“a. Issue an appropriate Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction directing Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to forthwith withdraw/revoke any communication, notice, instruction or direction issued to Respondent No. 2 for putting on hold/freezing/debit-freezing the bank accounts of the Petitioner bearing Account Nos. 181905001507, 181905001592, 181905002059 and 181905500595 maintained with Respondent No.2 Bank;

b. Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction directing Respondent No.2 to forthwith de-freeze/release the aforesaid bank



accounts of the Petitioner and permit unrestricted operation thereof including utilization of the amounts lying therein;

c. Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction declaring the impugned freeze/debit-freeze actions and directions issued by Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 against the bank accounts of the Petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, disproportionate, violative of the principles of natural justice and contrary to the provisions of law;

d. Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction restraining Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 and all agencies acting under them from taking any further coercive action against the Petitioner or issuing any further freeze/debit-freeze directions in relation to the bank accounts of the Petitioner without following due process of law and without granting adequate opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner;

e. Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction permitting the Petitioner to utilize and operate the amounts lying in the aforesaid bank accounts for meeting bona fide business and operational expenses including airline operational liabilities, BSP settlements, employee salaries, GST liabilities, statutory dues, authority payments and other day-today operational expenses;

f. Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction directing the Respondents to furnish copies of all freeze/debit-freeze notices, communications, instructions and material relied upon for freezing the bank accounts of the Petitioner;

g. Pass any other or further order(s), direction(s) or relief(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in favour of the Petitioner and against the Respondents;

h. Award costs of the present proceedings in favour of the Petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the concerned bank accounts have been defrozeed, and in view of the same, he seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.

4. Leave granted.



5. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn and disposed of.
6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court, *forthwith*.

**AMIT SHARMA, J
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 3, 2026/bsr/ns