



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7487/2026 & CM APPL. 36317/2026, CM APPL. 36318/2026

M/S BLUE OAK FARMS LLPPetitioner
Through: Mr. Pallav Arora, Proxy Counsel.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Ms. Shaini Bhardwaj, Proxy Counsel.
Ms. Urvi Mohan, Advocate for R-4 to
R-7 (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **25.05.2026**

1. The lawyers are abstaining from work today.
2. Proxy counsel are present for the parties.
3. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

- a) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to decide the Petitioner's legal notice / representation dated 29.04.2026 by a reasoned and speaking order after granting personal hearing and after dealing with objections on alignment, exact affected area, valuation, severance, diminution, alternate alignment and full compensation;
- b) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing and/or setting aside the impugned Notice No. 018 dated 27.04.2026 / AP-27 proceedings and all consequential action qua the Petitioner's land, to the extent the same proceeds without disclosure,



demarcation, hearing, reasoned decision and lawful compensation determination;

- c) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing and/or setting aside the Market Rate Committee order dated 23.03.2026 qua the Petitioner's land, or in the alternative, direct that the said order shall not be acted upon qua the Petitioner until a fresh, transparent, parcel-specific valuation is undertaken after giving meaningful participation to the Petitioner / affected landowners;
- d) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to supply to the Petitioner complete copies of the final approved alignment, route map, tower schedule, tower drawings, tower-base area, foundation plan, RoW corridor width, conductor swing / safety clearance, GPS coordinates, access / maintenance plan and compensation calculation sheet qua the Petitioner's land;
- e) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to conduct a joint site inspection and revenue demarcation in the presence of the Petitioner / authorised representative, PGCIL officials, revenue authorities and an independent technical person, with videography and GPS coordinates;

4. At this stage, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner presses for prayer (a) alone.

5. In view thereof, the present writ petition is disposed of while directing the respondent no.5/District Magistrate, South West District to consider and decide the representation filed by the petitioner on 29th April, 2026 (Annexure P/1 to the writ petition).

6. The representation shall be decided within two (2) weeks from today, after granting personal hearing to the petitioner.

7. No observations have been made with regard to the merits of the case.

8. Liberty is given to the petitioner to approach this Court in the event of any grievance with the order passed by the District Magistrate.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 25, 2026/Vivek/-