



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 7231/2026, CM APPL. 35398/2026 & CM APPL.
35399/2026

SHREE SUDERSHAN ASSOCIATES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED
THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR MR AMARJEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Priyanka Singla, Adv.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 23 3 DELHI CENTRAL
REVENUE BUILDING & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Puneet Rai, SSC. Mr. Ashvini
Kumar and Mr. Rishabh Nangia, JSCs

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER
26.05.2026

%

1. The petitioner has challenged order of attachment during the pendency of the stay applications before the appellate authority.
2. Mr. Puneet Rai, learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondents informed that vide order dated 12.01.2026 the petitioner's stay application has been disposed of by directing it to pay 20% of the outstanding demand.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner asserted that the order dated 12.01.2026 was never served upon to the petitioner.
4. Mr. Puneet Rai, learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondents



on the other hand submitted that the order dated 12.01.2026 was duly served.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to lay challenge to the abovesaid order dated 12.01.2026.

6. Without getting into the issue as to whether the order was served or not, we deem it appropriate to allow the petitioner to withdraw the present petition and lay challenge to the order dated 12.01.2026.

7. The liberty as prayed is granted, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

8. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

DINESH MEHTA, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

MAY 26, 2026/cd