



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3375/2024

DINCUR BAJAJ & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sanjay Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ajay Jain, Mr. Lakshay Raheja,
Mr. Nishank Tripathi, Ms. Harshita
Sukhija, Ms. Rishika Agrawal, Ms.
Priya Tyagi, Mr. Sankalp Jain,
Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State.
Mr. Kapil Beniwal, Advocate for R-2
and R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

27.02.2026

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 677/2022, registered at Police Station Punjabi Bagh, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. All the petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Punjabi Bagh, Delhi.



4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 25.04.2013, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies and two children were born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 27.03.2023.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated that contain documents which were to be executed by the respondent no. 2 and have been handed over to the petitioners. Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that the same has been handed over to the petitioners *qua* the car in question. It is also stated that the passport of the minor son bearing Passport Card No. V 1443248 and minor daughter bearing Passport Card No. C26289623 of the respondent no. 2 has been handed over to respondent no. 2 to her satisfaction. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 677/2022, registered at Police Station Punjabi Bagh, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34/506 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.
8. The petition stands disposed of.
9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/vc