



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1654/2026, CRL.M.A. 16588/2026

RITIKA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC with
Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv.
ASI- Shiva Nand, PS: Nihal Vihar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

22.05.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners collectively seek the following reliefs:

“a) Direct Respondent Nos. 1 to 2 to provide immediate police protection/security to the Petitioner

b) Direct Respondent Nos.1 to 2 to ensure that no harm, intimidation or harassment is caused to the Petitioner by Respondent Nos. 3 to 8;

c) Direct the concerned police authorities to take preventive action in accordance with law against the Respondents;

d) Direct Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to register FIR on the basis of complaints submitted by the Petitioners against Respondent Nos. 3 to 8 in accordance with law and conduct



fair and impartial investigation;

e) Direct speedy trial in Complaint Case bearing CT Cases/259/2026 titled “Ritika Vishwas Vs Arti Kaur & Ors.” pending before the Court of Ms. Komal Garg, Ld. Judicial Magistrate First Class, West District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi;”

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law.

3. The present petition, alongwith the pending application, is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 22, 2026/Ab