



\$~88

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 22nd May, 2026

+ CRL.M.C. 4116/2026

SATWENDER PAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Roshal Lal Saini with Ms. Kavita Saini, Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the State with SI Rinik, PS Katju Marg. Mr. Hardik Vashisth, Mr. Avinash Kapoor, Mr. Harshit Vashist, Ms. Sonia Kapoor, Mr. Shivdeep Kumar Tripathy, Mr. Dipayan Gupta, Ms. Bhavini Vahsisht, Mr. Lakshay Seth, Mr. Udit Narayan Sonariya and Mr. Sarthak Tagra, Advocates for complainant with complainant in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioner herein seeks quashing of FIR No.352/2021 dated 14.08.2021, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi, for commission of offences under Sections 354A, 354D, 506 and 509 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The abovesaid FIR was registered on the basis of complaint made by respondent No.2 and in her such complaint, she claimed that petitioner was her friend since year 2018 and that he was in litigation with his wife in



relation to matrimonial discord and custody battle of their son.

3. According to her, on the relevant date, he tried to force himself upon her, intimidated her and started torturing and blackmailing her. Since he was making continuous calls and sending messages to her and was stalking her, she was forced to report the matter to police.

4. Charge-sheet has already been filed and the case is at the stage of Prosecution Evidence, though respondent No.2 is yet to enter into witness box.

5. Petitioner is present in Court.

6. Respondent No.2 is also present in Court and has been duly identified by her counsel as well as by Investigating Officer.

7. Respondent No. 2 submits that with the intervention of family friends and well-wishers, she has amicably settled the matter with the petitioner, who was her friend earlier. She submits that though she has served all her relation with her, she has already condoned all such previous acts of the petitioner and she does not want the case to continue, as even the FIR was registered only as a deterrent to him. She submits that after the abovesaid incident which took place in the year 2021, there is no further misconduct on his part and since he has already apologized to her and since she has forgiven him, she is not interested in pursuing with FIR in question. She states that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed

8. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in



nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

10. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.352/2021 dated 14.08.2021, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi, for commission of offences under Sections 354A, 354D, 506 and 509 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed subject to petitioner depositing total cost of Rs. 20,000/- with *Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee (DHCLSC)* within four weeks.

11. Proof of deposit of cost and original affidavits of the parties be submitted before the learned Trial Court within further two weeks.

12. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

MAY 22, 2026/st/sa