



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2012/2026**
SHIV KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Suraj Prakash Sharma, Advocate.
versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP with
SI Santosh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **03.07.2026**

1. Applicant seeks regular bail in case arising out of FIR bearing No.501/2025, registered at Police Station Nangloi, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Section 109(1) of the *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita*, 2023 (BNS) (corresponding Section 307 IPC) and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959.
2. The FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by complainant-Abdul Khalil in which he claimed that his neighbour i.e. petitioner-Shiv Kumar had stabbed him with knife and he received injuries on his belly region.
3. The incident is of 31.12.2025 and the applicant was arrested on 01.01.2026 and is in custody since then.
4. Learned counsel for applicant submits that the investigation is already over and the case has already been committed to the Court of Sessions. He also submits that the injuries were not serious as the injured was discharged from the hospital on the very next day.
5. During course of arguments, it was also brought to the notice of the Court that the injured is himself present in the Court.

BAIL APPLN. 2012/2026

1



6. SI Santosh who is present from PS Nangloi also identifies him.
7. When asked about his response to the present bail application in vernacular, the complainant/injured submitted that he would have no objection if bail is granted to him. He submits that the applicant is his neighbor and is having a minor child and, therefore, despite the fact that he had caused him stab injuries, he would have no objection if bail is granted to him.
8. During the course of proceedings, this Court specifically asked the complainant whether he had been given any intimidation or threat by anyone or whether his such statement is based on any inducement, to which he replied in the negative.
9. The Nominal Roll of the applicant indicates his age as 28 years. The Nominal Roll does not disclose any ongoing case and applicant's conduct in jail is reported as satisfactory.
10. Learned counsel for the applicant, in all fairness, submits that the applicant was earlier involved in two FIRs which was registered way back in the year 2016 and 2017. In one FIR i.e. 228/2016 PS Nangloi, he was held guilty and has already undergone the sentence where as the other case i.e. FIR No. 456/2017 PS Nangloi which was registered under Section 326 IPC has already been quashed by this Court.
11. Learned APP for the State does not dispute the abovesaid criminal antecedent of the applicant and submits that there is no other reported history of his prior involvement.
12. Be that as it may, keeping in mind the overall facts of the case, the fact that investigation is over and chargesheet is likely to be filed very shortly, the applicant is admitted to bail, on his furnishing personal bond in a sum of Rs.



25,000/- with one surety of like amount subject to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/CMM/Duty Magistrate First Class.

13. The present bail application is disposed of in aforesaid terms.
14. Pending applications also stand disposed of.
15. A copy of this order be immediately sent to the learned Trial Court and Jail Superintendent for information and necessary compliance.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 3, 2026/sw/pb