



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4064/2026, CRL.M.A. 16476/2026

MUKESH SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tejaswini Verma and Mr. Abhay Singh, Advs. Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT, DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State with Ms. Nikita Manish and Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Advs.
SI- Divya Mann, PS: Shahbad Dairy.
R2 in Person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **29.05.2026**

1. The present matter is being taken up today as 28.05.2026 was declared a holiday.
2. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.285/2016 dated 18.03.2016 registered at PS: Shahbad Dairy, Delhi under *Sections 326/452/506* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Mediation Settlement dated 07.04.2026 [**Annexure P5**] arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.
3. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.



4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Mediation Settlement, and as such, he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR as he wishes to continue living peacefully.

5. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466*, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.285/2016 dated 18.03.2016 registered at PS: Shahbad Dairy, Delhi under *Sections 326/452/506 IPC* and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. As such, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid term.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 29, 2026/Ab