



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1056/2013, CM APPL. 1996/2013**

UNION BANK OF INDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. OP Gaggar and Mr. Sachindra
Karn, Advocates

versus

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **06.04.2026**

1. The present petition has been filed for the following relief:

“i. The impugned order/decision number CIC/SM/A/2011/002865/VS/-1843 dated 17.1.2013 in appeal number CIC/SM/A/2011/002865/VS of the respondent number 1 may be set aside and;

ii. The Hon'ble Court may be pleased to pass any other or further order as the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in facts and circumstances of the case to do complete justice.”

2. It is the case of the petitioner bank that *vide* impugned order dated 17.01.2013, passed by the Central Information Commission, it has been directed to disclose information of a personal nature, the disclosure of which would result in an unwarranted invasion of the privacy of customers availing the services of the bank.

3. Upon hearing all the parties, this Court is of the view that the



information sought by the applicant pertained to third parties, and its disclosure would infringe upon the privacy of the customers of the petitioner bank. It is for this reason that this Court, *vide* order dated 19.02.2013, had stayed the operation of the impugned order.

4. The interim order is, therefore, made absolute. It appears that even the private respondent has lost interest in defending his case as no one appears for him.

5. Accordingly, the petition is also disposed of. However, legal questions involved herein are left open.

6. If the private respondent has any other grievance or if he desires any other information, he shall be at liberty to file a fresh application with the respondent.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 6, 2026

tr