



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 556/2026 & I.A. 14444/2026, I.A. 14445/2026, I.A. 14446/2026, I.A. 14447/2026

SRODEEP INFRA DEVELOPERS LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. S. K. Bhaduri, with Ms. Neetu Gupta, Ms. Toshiba, Advocates.
Mr Anuj Arora, Advocate.

versus

SEEMA BAWA & ORSDefendants

Through: Mr. Manish Vashisht, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vedansh Vashisht, Advocate for D-1 to 4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

21.05.2026

1. Since the mandate of Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act has not been followed, on the statement of learned Counsel for the Plaintiff, the plaint is returned for the Plaintiff to satisfy the mandate under Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act and re-institute the suit, if need be, in future.
2. The suit is disposed of as returned along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

MAY 21, 2026

hsk