



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4039/2026

ARVIND PATEL & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Manoj Kumar Maheshwari, Adv.
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State
with Ms. Nikita Manish and Ms.
Upasna Bakshi, Advs.
Mr. Anil Kumar Pandey, Ms. Priya
Sharma, Mr. Kuldeep Kumar Sharma,
Advs. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

29.05.2026

1. The present matter is being taken up today as 28.05.2026 was declared a holiday.
2. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.143/2012 dated 05.10.2012 registered at PS: Sonia Vihar, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and *Section 4* of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (**DP Act**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Mediation Settlement dated 12.06.2025 [**Annexure P4**] before Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2, which is



accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.

3. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Mediation Settlement, whereby the total settlement amount of Rs.50,000/-, has already been received by her as the full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 *vide* Decree dated 22.04.2026, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.143/2012 dated 05.10.2012 registered at PS: Sonia Vihar, Delhi under *Sections*



498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of the DP Act and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid term.

MAY 29, 2026/Ab

SAURABH BANERJEE, J