



\$~95

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% **Date of Decision: 20th May, 2026**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1629/2026 & CRL.M.A. 16332/2026**

ARPITA CHATTERJEE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikas Pathak, Mr. Anurag Atulya
and Mr. Gaganpreet Singh, Advocates
(through V.C.)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for the State
with Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini
Kumar, Ms. Chavi Lazarus, Mr. Nitish
Dhawan and Mr. Ankit Kumar,
Advocates.
SI Sanjay Meena.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioner-Arpita Chatterjee and her husband were charged for commission of offences under Sections 420/406 read with 120B IPC *vide* order dated 13.11.2019 passed by the learned Trial Court.
2. Feeling aggrieved by the abovesaid order on charge, petitioner-Arpita Chatterjee filed a revision petition which was registered as CRL.REV.P. 84/2020.
3. It is submitted that the abovesaid petition is pending for last six years and has yet not been disposed of, despite the fact that, on various previous occasions, arguments were heard and the matter was reserved for pronouncement of order.
4. The next date before the learned Revisional Court is stated to be 04.07.2026 when the learned Revisional Court is scheduled to hear arguments afresh, on account of there being a change in the Presiding Officer.



5. The limited prayer in the present petition is to request the concerned Court to conclude the hearing and to dispose of the matter in a time-bound manner. It is submitted that despite specific request made before the learned Revisional Court, the trial was not stayed and if the trial continues, it would, by implication, frustrate the very purpose of filing a Revision Petition.
6. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State appears on advance notice and leaves it to the Court to pass appropriate order(s).
7. Keeping in mind the overall facts of the case and after perusal of various orders passed by the learned Revisional Court from time to time, the present petition is disposed of with request to learned Revisional Court to dispose of the abovesaid Revision Petition as expeditiously as possible and, preferably, within a period of four months from the date it takes up the matter.
8. The present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.
9. Pending application also stands disposed of.
10. A copy of this order be sent to learned Revisional Court for information and compliance.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

MAY 20, 2026/ss/pb