



\$~104 and 131

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision : 19.05.2026

+ **W.P.(C) 6938/2026**

(104) M/S JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI (GNCTD) AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Panel Counsel
and Mr. Kartik Sharma, Adv.,
GNCTD.

+ **W.P.(C) 6988/2026 and CM APPL.34303/2026**

(131) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI (GNCTD) AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Panel Counsel
and Mr. Kartik Sharma, Adv.,
GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

SACHIN DATTA, J. (ORAL)

CM APPL.34109/2026 (Exemption) in W.P.(C) 6938/2026

CM APPL.34304/2026 (Exemption) in W.P.(C) 6988/2026

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

2. Applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 6938/2026 and CM APPL.34108/2026

W.P.(C) 6988/2026 and CM APPL.34303/2026

3. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioner assailing the jurisdiction of the respondent no.1 as regards certain proceedings pending



before it under the Employees' Compensation Act, 1923.

4. *Vide* order dated 27.04.2026 passed in Case Ref No. EC/WD/20/77-82/22 the concerned Authority ordered as under:-

Case Ref No: EC/WD/2/O/77-82/22

Parties: Ms. Muskan & Ors.

Vs

M/s Jai Guruji Enterprises

Placed on record.

The order dt. 08-03-2022 of Hon'ble NGT Principal Bench, New Delhi in OA No. 143/2022. Copy has been supplied to ARR.

On request, matter fixed for 27-04-2026 at 10:00 AM.

Sd/-

CEC

Dated 27/4/26

Present:

For Claimant: Sh. Daksh Nain AR

For Respondent: Sh. Kartik Kaushal Adv alongwith Respondent

ARs filed written arguments in all the 04 cases. Copy has been supplied to ARR.

ARR at this stage of final argument has filed an application raising his ground for non-maintainability of claim for jurisdiction. He has relied upon the Judgment of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court dt 6/5/22 in CA 3680/2022 and has further relied upon the Judgment dt 22/8/17 of Hon'ble High Court in FAO 424/16 and Judgment dt 26-10-17 in FAO 329/2015. Copy of the same has been supplied to the ARR again sought an opp for leading arguments. On request final opp for the same is being afforded.



Matter has been discussed and it has been observed that issue of Jurisdiction has already been made in these matters on the basis of Pleadings. ARR is strongly arguing to decide this application Ist before deciding the main claim application which has been objected by ARC. As all these stages have been passed and issues were framed way back in the year 2004 but this application has purposely been filed at this later stage. Just to delay the matter. Heard both the parties. ARR stated that he had raised the issue of Jurisdiction initially while filing the reply. Hence it cannot be said the matter is being delayed on his part.

Next date fixed for 18/5/26 at 11:00 for leading arguments by the parties this would be final opp.

5. It transpires that *vide* the aforesaid order the concerned Authority listed the matter for further arguments on 18.05.2026.
6. In the circumstances, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the ongoing proceedings before the concerned Authority at this stage. The parties are requested to cooperate with the concerned Authority for expeditious disposal of the same.
7. Needless to say, the petitioner shall be at liberty to make appropriate submissions with regard to the issue of jurisdiction at the time of final arguments before the concerned Authority, which shall necessarily be considered in the final order to be passed by the concerned Authority.
8. Needless to say, the final order that may be passed shall be subject to the rights and remedies of the parties, including on the issue of jurisdiction.
9. These petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

MAY 19, 2026/cl

SACHIN DATTA, J