



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3998/2026**

MUSTKIM & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pawan Silmana, Adv.
Petitioners in person

Versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Ms. Divya
Bakshi, Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh
and Mr. Gourav Singh, Adv.
SI- Ekta, PS: Mangol Puri. R2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

19.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.1174/2019 dated 19.12.2019 registered at PS.: Mangol Puri, Delhi under *Sections 498A/506/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Settlement/ Compromise dated 16.09.2021 [**Annexure P2**] arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2, before the Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.



2. Issue notice.
3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement/ Compromise, whereby petitioner no.1/ husband and she herself have resolved all their *inter se* disputes and are willing to live together peacefully and shall make best of their efforts of make their matrimonial life successful. As such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.
6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.:*** (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.
7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.1174/2019 dated 19.12.2019 registered at PS.: Mangol Puri, Delhi under *Sections 498A/506/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



8. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid term.

MAY 19, 2026/Ab

SAURABH BANERJEE, J