



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3957/2026, CRL.M.A. 16039/2026

CHETAN THAKUR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. A.K. Thakur and Ms. Khushi
Arora, Advocates alongwith
petitioners (in-person)

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for the State.
SI Sohit Kumar, P.S.: Bindapur.
Respondent No.2 (in-person)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

19.05.2026

CRL.M.A. 16040/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 3957/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No.1482/2015 dated 24.10.2015 registered under sections 354(A)/451/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Bindapur, Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Understanding ('MoU') dated 09.04.2026, whereby the petitioners and respondent No. 2 have resolved the matter amicably.
3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with the respondent No.2, as also with the petitioners, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and MoU dated 09.04.2026 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Ms. Kiran Bairwa, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
8. While allowing the petition however, this court considers it appropriate, that by way of atonement, the petitioners shall pay costs



of Rs.10,000/- *each* to Friendicoes SECA, No.271 & 273, Defence Colony Flyover Market, Jungpura, New Delhi within 04 weeks.

9. *Subject to* the aforesaid condition, case FIR No.1482/2015 dated 24.10.2015 registered under sections 354(A)/451/506/34 of the IPC at P.S.: Bindapur , Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
10. Petitioners are directed to place on record the proofs of payment of costs.
11. The Registry is directed to re-list the matter if costs are not paid as directed.
12. The petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MAY 19, 2026

SS