



2026:DHC:4509



\$~148 to 150 & 153 to 159

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% **Date of Decision : 18.05.2026**

+ **W.P.(C) 6814/2026 and CM APPL.33539/2026**

(148) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner
Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHIRespondent
Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advs.

+ **W.P.(C) 6817/2026 and CM APPL.33546/2026**

(149) M/S JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner
Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI (GNCTD) AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advs.

+ **W.P.(C) 6819/2026 and CM APPL.33552/2026**

(150) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner
Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advs.

+ **W.P.(C) 6823/2026 and CM APPL.33560/2026**

(153) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner
Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Advs.

versus



2026:DHC:4509



GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI GNCTD ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Adv.+ **W.P.(C) 6824/2026 and CM APPL.33562/2026**

(154) M/S JAI GURU JI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI (GNCTD) AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Adv.+ **W.P.(C) 6827/2026 and CM APPL.33569/2026**

(155) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI (GNCTD)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Adv.+ **W.P.(C) 6829/2026 and CM APPL.33571/2026**

(156) MS JAI GURU JI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI (GNCTD)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Adv.+ **W.P.(C) 6830/2026 and CM APPL.33574/2026**

(157) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Adv.



2026:DHC:4509



versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advts.

+ **W.P.(C) 6832/2026 and CM APPL.33578/2026**

(158) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Advts.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI GNCTD ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advts.

+ **W.P.(C) 6842/2026 and CM APPL.33622/2026**

(159) MS JAI GURUJI ENTERPRISESPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kartik Kaushal, Mr. Abhishek K.
Tanwar, Advts.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF
DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta (PC) along with
Ms. Rashi Aggarwal, Advts.

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA****SACHIN DATTA, J. (ORAL)****CM APPL.33540/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33547/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33553/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33561/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33563/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33570/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33572/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33575/2026 (Exemption)****CM APPL.33579/2026 (Exemption)**



2026:DHC:4509



CM APPL.33623/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 6814/2026

W.P.(C) 6817/2026

W.P.(C) 6819/2026

W.P.(C) 6823/2026

W.P.(C) 6824/2026

W.P.(C) 6827/2026

W.P.(C) 6829/2026

W.P.(C) 6830/2026

W.P.(C) 6832/2026

W.P.(C) 6842/2026

3. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioner assailing the jurisdiction of the respondent no.1, in respect of certain proceedings under the Employees' Compensation Act, 1923.
4. *Vide* order dated 27.04.2026 the concerned Authority ordered as under:-

Case Ref No: EC/WD/2/O/77-82/22

Parties: Ms. Muskan & Ors.

Vs

M/s Jai Guruji Enterprises

Placed on record.

The order dt. 08-03-2022 of Hon'ble NGT Principal Bench, New Delhi in OA No. 143/2022. Copy has been supplied to ARR.

On request, matter fixed for 27-04-2026 at 10:00 AM.

Sd/-

CEC



2026:DHC:4509



Dated 27/4/26

Present:

For Claimant: Sh. Daksh Nain AR

For Respondent: Sh. Kartik Kaushal Adv alongwith Respondent

ARs filed written arguments in all the 04 cases. Copy has been supplied to ARR.

ARR at this stage of final argument has filed an application raising his ground for non-maintainability of claim for jurisdiction. He has relied upon the Judgment of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court dt 6/5/22 in CA 3680/2022 and has further relied upon the Judgment dt 22/8/17 of Hon'ble High Court in FAO 424/16 and Judgment dt 26-10-17 in FAO 329/2015. Copy of the same has been supplied to the ARR again sought an opp for leading arguments. On request final opp for the same is being afforded.

Matter has been discussed and it has been observed that issue of Jurisdiction has already been made in these matters on the basis of Pleadings. ARR is strongly arguing to decide this application Ist before deciding the main claim application which has been objected by ARC. As all these stages have been passed and issues were framed way back in the year 2004 but this application has purposely been filed at this later stage. Just to delay the matter. Heard both the parties. ARR stated that he had raised the issue of Jurisdiction initially while filing the reply. Hence it cannot be said the matter is being delayed on his part.

Next date fixed for 18/5/26 at 11:00 for leading arguments by the parties this would be final opp.

5. It transpires that after the aforesaid order was passed, final arguments in the matter have been slated for today i.e. 18.05.2026, before the concerned Authority.
6. Needless to say, the petitioner shall be at liberty to make appropriate



2026:DHC:4509



submissions with regard to the issue of jurisdiction at the time of final arguments before the concerned Authority, which shall necessarily be considered in the final order to be passed by the concerned Authority.

7. In the circumstances, given the advanced stage of the proceedings before the Authority, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the same at this stage. The parties are requested to cooperate with the concerned Authority for expeditious disposal of the same.

8. Needless to say, the final order that may be passed (including on the issue of jurisdiction), shall be subject to the rights and remedies of the parties.

9. These petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 18, 2026/uk