



\$~140

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 247/2019**

JINDAL STAINLESS (HISAR) LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Saif Khan, Mr. Rohil Bansal and
Mr. Chirayu Prahlad, Advocates.

versus

SOURABH JINAL & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Birender Bhatt and Mr. Aditya
Katyayan, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

15.05.2026

1. This suit is instituted on behalf of the Plaintiff *inter alia* seeking a decree of permanent injunction restraining the Defendants and all others acting on their behalf from using the mark JINDAL or any other deceptively similar mark as part of their trading style or in relation to their products or services or in any other manner whatsoever, amounting to infringement of Plaintiff's registered JINDAL trademarks as also passing off.

2. During the pendency of the suit parties were referred for mediation before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre, where they have amicably settled all their *inter se* disputes and executed a Settlement Agreement dated 04.05.2026, incorporating the terms of the settlement. Copy of the Settlement Agreement has been placed on record and perused by the Court.



3. It is agreed between the parties *inter alia* that Defendants shall use the entire name/mark SOURABH JINDAL ensuring that the first name 'SOURABH' and the surname 'JINDAL' are written, depicted and displayed in the same font size, font style and colour with no emphasis on the word 'JINDAL' for carrying on business in any manner whatsoever, including on products, advertisements, trade names etc. Defendants have agreed to suffer a decree of permanent injunction in terms of prayers contained in paragraph 59(i), (ii) and (iv) of the plaint. Plaintiff has agreed not to press reliefs of delivery up, rendition of accounts, damages and costs of proceedings, subject to strict compliance of the terms and conditions by the Defendants.
4. Court has perused the terms of the settlement and finds the same to be lawful. Accordingly, the suit is decreed in terms of paragraph 59(i), (ii) and (iv) of the plaint.
5. Registry is directed to draw up the decree sheet.
6. Suit stands disposed of.
7. Plaintiff is held entitled to refund of entire court fees in accordance with the Court Fees Act, 1870.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MAY 15, 2026
S.Sharma