



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6839/2026**

MOHAMMD ZUHAIB ALAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Bansal, Mr. Vinayak
Goyal, Ms. Sakshi Pareek, Advs.

versus

CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERRespondent

Through: Mr. Mayank Rustagi, SPC, Ms.
Prakriti Kapoor, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **18.05.2026**

CM APPL. 33616/2026

1. Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 6839/2026

3. The instant petition is for the following reliefs:

“a) Issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ, Order, or Direction quashing and setting aside the Order dated 11.11.2025 passed by the Central Information Commission in Second Appeal Nos. CIC/DEPOL/A/2024/137298, CIC/DEPOL/A/2024/130230, and CIC/DEPOL/A/2024/138130;

(b) Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order, or Direction directing the Respondent No. 3/CPIO, Delhi Police, South-East District, to furnish complete, correct, and certified copies of all information sought in RTI Application Nos. dated 12.09.2024, 22.07.2024, and 10.09.2024, as may be directed by this Hon'ble Court;

(c) Pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”



4. The sole grievance of the petitioner seems to be the operation of the restaurant without any permission. If that be so, let an appropriate petition be filed.
5. Depending upon the averments to be made by the petitioner, the Court shall consider issuing appropriate directions.
6. With the aforesaid liberty, the instant petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MAY 18, 2026/SH