



\$~82 & 104

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% *Date of Decision: 18th May, 2026*

82

+ CRL.M.C. 3915/2026 & CRL.M.A. 15894/2026

SAJJAN KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Braham Singh with Mr. Rohit Vidhudi, Mr. N.S. Vidhudi and Ms. Manju, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State with SI Naveen Kumar, PS V.K. South and SI Kailash Chand, Traffic Kalkaji. Mr. Jayant Kumar and Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Advocates for respondent Nos.2 to 6 with respondent Nos.2 to 6 in person.

104

+ CRL.M.C. 3954/2026

JITENDER SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Jayant Kumar and Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for the State with SI Naveen Kumar, PS V.K. South and SI Kailash Chand, Traffic Kalkaji. Mr. Braham Singh with Mr. Rohit Vidhudi, Mr. N.S. Vidhudi and Ms. Manju, Advocates with respondent Nos.2 to 5 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN



J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Both these petitions, being connected, have been taken up together.
2. These are cross-FIRs and both the sides seek quashing of respective FIRs on the basis of amicable settlement between the parties.
3. Parties are also related to each other.
4. CRL.M.C.3915/2026 seeks quashing of FIR No.354/2012 dated 01.11.2012 registered at P.S. Vasant Kunj South for commission of offences under Section 451/323/34 IPC. There are five accused persons in the abovesaid FIR and FIR was registered on the basis of a complaint made by respondent No.5 i.e. Ravinder wherein he claimed that on 30.10.2012, the petitioners were quarreling and abusing his wife and Komal i.e. daughter of his sister-in-law. There was previous enmity between them as said case is at the stage of prosecution evidence and the accused persons have been charged for offences under Section 452/323/34 IPC.
5. CRL.M.C.3954/2026 seeks quashing of FIR No.355/2012 dated 01.11.2012 registered at P.S. Vasant Kunj South for commission of offences under Section 323/341/34 IPC. There are two accused in the abovesaid case and FIR was registered on the basis of a complaint made by respondent No.2 i.e. Sajjan Kumar, who claimed that both the accused persons had forcibly stopped his way when he was returning home. They entered into scuffle with him. On hearing his shouts, when his other family members came at the spot, they were also not spared. The injuries were received by respondent Nos.2 to 5. The abovesaid case is also at the stage of prosecution evidence and both the petitioners have been charged for commission of offences under Section 323/325/341/34 IPC. Petitioners in CRL.M.C. 3954/2026 are the real brothers



of Komal, who is respondent No.4 in cross-case i.e. CRL.M.C. 3915/2026.

6. The matters have been amicably settled and both the parties have entered into compromise. Compromise Deed dated 14.05.2026 is found to be signed by all the parties including the concerned complainants, injured and victim in the respective FIRs.

7. The parties are present with their respective counsel and the Investigating Officers of the respective matters are also present and they also duly identify them.

8. When asked, all the concerned complaints, injured/victim reiterated the terms of the settlement and submitted that they have entered into the abovesaid settlement out of their own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, they would have '*no objection*' if the respective FIRs are quashed.

9. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainants do not wish to press any charges against the opposite side.

10. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash both the FIRs.

11. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.354/2012 dated 01.11.2012 registered at P.S. Vasant Kunj South for commission of offences under Section 451/323/34 IPC and FIR No.355/2012 dated 01.11.2012 registered at P.S. Vasant Kunj South for commission of offences under Section 323/341/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising



therefrom, are, hereby, quashed.

12. Original Compromise Deed dated 14.05.2026 and original affidavits of the parties shall be submitted to the learned Trial Court within two weeks from today.

13. The present petitions stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

14. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

MAY 18, 2026
st/sk