



\$~119

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1594/2026

ASHISH SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC for the
State along with SI Renu.

R-2 with her counsel Mr. Sunil
Tewari, Ms. Jayaditya Gupta and Mr.
Amol Chaudhary, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

18.05.2026

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 53/2024, registered at Police Station Nanak Pura, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court through video conferencing and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Nanak Pura, Delhi.
3. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 07.03.2020, as per Hindu rites and customs at New Delhi. It is stated that one child was born out of the



said wedlock on 19.09.2021, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately since 19.02.2021. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

4. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before the CAW Cell Nanak Pura, Moti Bagh, New Delhi vide Settlement Agreement dated 18.07.2024. The complainant is present through video conferencing with her counsel, who states that she has received the entire compromise amount from the petitioner.

5. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. Although, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the affidavit showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, is not on record, the petitioner will remain bound by it.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice



to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 53/2024, registered at Police Station Nanak Pura, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 18, 2026/A/R