



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6687/2026**

ANIL DUTTWANI

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Ashish Panday, Adv.**

versus

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

26.05.2026

1. Heard.
2. *Vide* Order-in-Original dated 13th February, 2024, the respondent-authorities granted redemption in favour of the petitioner, which was upheld by the Appellate Authority *vide* order dated 5rd September, 2024.
3. Against the order of the Appellate Authority, we are informed that the respondent's revision petition is pending, wherein neither a prayer for grant of interim relief has been made, nor revision is sought to be heard on a priority basis.
4. As such, it is prayed that the respondents be directed to comply with the Order-in-Original as well as the order passed by the Appellate Authority, as the petitioner is willing to comply with the conditions mentioned therein.
5. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the revision can be



directed to be heard expeditiously.

6. Having considered the aforesaid issue, we are of the view that in case the respondent fails to take steps for the expeditious disposal of the revision, or in case the revision is not decided within a period of 12 weeks from today, the respondent must report compliance with the Order-in-Original as well as the order of the Appellate Authority, in any case by 17th August, 2026.

7. We further direct that from the date the order of redemption was passed in favour of the petitioner, viz., via the Order-in-Original, no warehouse charges shall be levied upon the petitioner, in case the said order is upheld by the Revisional Authority.

8. The petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

9. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

MAY 26, 2026/DM/ST