



\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 267/2026
MISS PRIYANSHI SINGH THROUGH HER NATURAL
GUARDIAN I.E. MOTHER XYZPetitioner
Through: Mr. Jai Bansal, Advocate.

versus
PRANJAL SINGHRespondent
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER
% **15.05.2026**

CRL.M.A. 15511/2026 (exemption)

CRL.M.A. 15512/2026 (exemption)

Exemptions granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The applications stand disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 15513/2026 (Condonation of 94 days' delay in filing)

For the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by affidavit, the delay is condoned.

2. The application is allowed.
3. The present revision petition is taken on Board.

CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 267/2026 & CRL.M.A. 15510/2026 (interim maintenance)

4. By way the present petition filed under section 442 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 ('BNSS') read with section 19(4) of the Family Courts Act, 1984, the petitioner seeks enhancement of interim maintenance in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- awarded *vide* order



dated 05.01.2026 passed by the learned Judge Family Court-02, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits, that though petitioner No.2 is not the legally wedded wife of the respondent, it is the admitted position that petitioner No.1 is his daughter. Counsel submits, that petitioner No.1 is a special child and therefore there are extra expenses that need to be incurred towards her medical needs.
6. A perusal of the impugned order shows, that as per the record, the income of the respondent as per his income tax returns is Rs. 70,000/-; and applying the principal of ***Annurita Vohra vs. Sandeep Vohra***¹, the learned Family Court has awarded Rs. 10,000/- per month as interim maintenance *at this interlocutory stage*.
7. The main petition under section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is still pending consideration; and is next listed for evidence before the learned Family Court.
8. Since the main matter is pending consideration, in light of section 438(2) of the BNSS, this court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned order; granting to the petitioner liberty to raise all her rights and contentions by leading appropriate evidence before the learned Family Court, as may be permissible, in accordance with law.
9. Considering the fact that the petitioner is a special child and may have additional requirements, especially as to her medical needs, the learned Family Court is directed to dispose-of the petition pending under section 144 of the BNSS *as expeditiously as possible*, and in

¹ (2004) SCC OnLine Del 192



any case *within 03 months* from the next date of hearing before that court.

10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MAY 15, 2026
V.Rawat