



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6732/2026 & CM APPL. 33096/2026**

RAJIV DEWAN

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rakesh Chahar & Mr. Bhupesh
Rana, Advocates (Through VC)**

Versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI &
ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Vanshul Pali, Panel Counsel for
MCD with Ms. Ayusika Mishra,
Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Panel
Counsel for GNCTD with Ms.
Purnima Jain, Ms. Shivpa Taneja &
Mr. Madhur, Advocates for R-3.
Ms. Gauri Goburdhun, Senior Panel
Counsel for R-2 & R-4. (Through VC)**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

15.05.2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

- (a) A direction, order or writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ thereby directing the respondents to remove all illegal encroachments in CD block, Pitampura, Delhi especially on adjoining wall of the Maharaja Agrasen Model School 85 Adharshilla school Pitampura, Delhi and to ensure that permanent as well as temporary shops / unauthorized hawkers / vendors etc are removed from the pavements;



- (b) Directions to Respondent to ensure that the pavements is maintained encroachment free and that such encroachments do not crop up.
 - (c) Necessary action against the persons/authority/ departments etc; who are liable/responsible for the above said encroachment which cause danger to life of residents as well as school children.
2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has drawn attention of the Court to the order passed by this Court on 1st October, 2024 in W.P. (C) 1517/2018, titled as *Pitam Pura Development Monitoring Society (regd.) Through Its General Secretary Sh. V.K. Sharma v. North Delhi Municipal Corporation and Ors'*, wherein directions were issued to respondent-MCD to remove the encroachments and to ensure that they do not re-appear again.
3. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-MCD, on advance notice, submits that the petitioner has no *locus standi* to file the present writ petition as the petitioner resides in FP Block, which is 2 kms away from the subject area. Even otherwise, it is submitted that respondent-MCD is strictly acting in terms of the aforesaid order and submits that there is no unauthorized encroachment in the said market.
4. To be noted, the petitioner has not filed any photographs to show any encroachment in the said area.
5. Considering the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of respondent-MCD, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition.



6. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 15, 2026/at