



\$~124

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3842/2026**

SUNIL KUMAR @ SUNIL KUMAR DAHIYA

& ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Deepak Ranga, Advocate

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with SI Naresh & SI AMIT.
Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **15.05.2026**

CRL.M.A. 15596/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 15597/2026

3. By way of the present application, the petitioners seek condonation of delay of 1 day in re-filing the present petition.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 1 day in re-filing the present petition is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3842/2026

6. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the



FIR bearing No. 373/2021, registered at Police Station Narela, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station Narela, Delhi.

8. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 04.12.2011, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that one child was born out of the said wedlock on 01.10.2012, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately since 02.12.2017. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

9. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini Courts, Delhi vide MOU/Compromise Deed dated 04.10.2025. The complainant is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received the entire amounts due to her as per settlement.

10. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

11. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or



threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

12. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

13. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

14. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 373/2021, registered at Police Station Narela, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

15. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

16. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 15, 2026/zp/ap