



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3837/2026, CRL.M.A. 15550/2026, CRL.M.A. 15551/2026, CRL.M.A. 15552/2026

RAJIK ALI ALIAS ABDUL RAZZAK & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nadeem Ahmed and Mr. Saiful
Haqul, Advs. with petitioners in
person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh, Ms. Divya Bakshi and Ms.
Upasna Bakshi, Advocates
SI Udit Jain, PS.: New Usmanpur
Mohd. Zubair and Mr. Vinod
Yadav, Advs. for R-2 with R-2
present in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
15.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.212/2024 dated 13.04.2024 registered at PS.: New Usmanpur, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and *Section 4* of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (**DP Act**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Settlement dated 27.04.2026 (**Annexure P7**), arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.



2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement dated 27.04.2026 whereby the petitioner no.1 has already paid her the total amount of Rs.1,00,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.
5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.
6. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.212/2024 dated 13.04.2024 registered at PS.: New Usmanpur, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC and *Section 4* of the DP Act and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



7. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending applications, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MAY 15, 2026/bh