



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1551/2026, CRL.M.A. 15303/2026**

GOTAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Charul Chaudhary, Adv. with
petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for
State with Mr. Alok Sharma and
Ms. Pragya Sharma, Adv. with
ASI Kishor Kumar, PS: North
Rohini
Mr. Kanwarpreet Singh, Adv. for
R-2 with R-2 (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

15.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.135/2026 dated 18.03.2026 registered at PS: North Rohini under *Sections 281/125(a)* of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (**BNS**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Memorandum of Understanding dated 27.04.2026 (**Annexure P2**) arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.

2. Issue notice. Learned ASC for State accepts notice and submits that



he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding dated 27.04.2026, whereby the petitioner has already paid him a sum of Rs.30,000/- out of total settlement amount of Rs.90,000/- and a Demand Draft being DD No.750855 dated 07.05.2026 (Union Bank of India, Branch-Sector-22, Rohini, Delhi) of Rs.60,000/- has been handed over to him today as full and final settlement of all his present, past and future claims, etc. He further submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the IO.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

6. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.135/2026 dated 18.03.2026 registered at PS: North Rohini under *Sections 281/125(a)* BNS and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



7. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MAY 15, 2026/So