



\$~95

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1556/2026

BHAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jai Subhash Thakur, Adv.
(through VC)

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for
State with Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv
with SI Dinesh Kr., PS: Kanjhawala

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

14.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Articles 226* and *227* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to provide him with protection against the threats to his life and liberty at the hands of his younger son.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a senior citizen, who is facing continuous threats, intimidation, and harassment from his younger son, namely Jai Raj @ Raja, as the petitioner had leased a plot of agricultural land to the local farmers. As the younger son of the petitioner was upset, he threatened the local farmers as also gave a death threatening to petitioner on 26.04.2026. Pursuant thereto, although the petitioner took necessary action by making a PCR call, however, the same was labelled as family matter and was thus



not pursued any further.

3. Then, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the said PCR was no aid to the petitioner, the petitioner lodged a complaint at PS.: Kanjhawala, Delhi on 26.04.2026 in view of the aforesaid death threats, which again was not pursued by the Police Authorities. Learned counsel lastly submits that the inaction, indifference, and lethargy of the Police Authorities have caused a serious violation of the petitioner's fundamental right to life and personal liberty guaranteed under *Article 21* of the Constitution of India. He, hence, prays that protection be granted to the petitioner against his younger son.

4. Issue notice.

5. Learned ASC for State accepts notice and, at the outset submits that the address provided by the petitioner falls within the jurisdiction of PS.: Kanjhawala, Delhi.

6. As per records, the petitioner herein is a 90 years old senior citizen who is facing death threats from his younger son. Despite him initiating action(s), the situation is the same and there is no improvement. Under such circumstances, when it is trite law that *Article 21* of the Constitution encompasses a broad ambit of substantive right(s) including the right of personal liberty and safety, and the expression “*life*” therein does not connote mere existence, but includes the right to live in a secure environment free from fear, coercion, and violence of any kind, if any senior citizen like the petitioner herein apprehends imminent danger to his life at the hands of his own son, he requires/ deserves protection.

7. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner shall be free to get in touch with either the SHO, or the Head/



Beat Constable, i.e., SHO Krishan Kumar (+91 8750870324), and HC Manish (+91 8826265798) PS.: Kanjhawala, Delhi, if, as and when the need so arises. Needless to say, the SHO and the concerned Beat Constable shall also take all possible steps to provide adequate assistance and protection, as and when needed to the petitioner, in accordance with law.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 14, 2026/So