



\$~120

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3812/2026**

CHANDER SHEKHAR SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr. Arun
Kumar Sharma and Mr. Vaibhav
Sharma, Advs.

versus

**THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State along with ASI Shiv Kumar.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, AR of R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

14.05.2026

CRL.M.A. 15456/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 15457/2026

3. By way of the present application, the petitioner seeks condonation of delay of 45 days in re-filing the present petition.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 45 days in re-filing the present petition is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 3812/2026

6. By way of present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 88/2023, registered at Police Station Tilak Marg, New Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Section 229 (A) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

7. The petitioner and Mr. Prashant Kumar, authorized representative of respondent no. 2 (through video conferencing) are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Tilak Marg, New Delhi.

8. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioner under the relevant section. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 24.11.2025, entered between them.

9. On a query made by this Court, Mr. Prashant Kumar, the authorized representative of respondent no.2, who has been identified by the concerned IO and his counsel, who is also appearing through video conferencing, has categorically stated that respondent no. 2 has entered into compromise out of free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice



to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 88/2023, registered at Police Station Tilak Marg, New Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Section 229 (A) of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to cost of Rs.10,000/-, to be deposited by the petitioner with District and Sessions Courts Employees Welfare Association Fund, within a period of seven days from date.

12. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 14, 2026/A