



2026:DHC:2027



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026+ **BAIL APPLN. 1366/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 653/2024**

GULAB

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul Bandhu, Mr. Karan Ahuja
and Mr. Saurabh Agarwal, Advocates.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with Inspector Rakesh Kumar.
Mr. Amit Singh Chauhan, DHCLSC
with Mr. Kuldeep Kasana and Mr.
Ahmad Kamaal, Advocates for
complainant.**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****JUDGMENT (ORAL)**

1. The accused/applicant seeks regular bail during the pendency of trial in case FIR No. 400/2020 of PS Shahbad Dairy for offence under Section 302/307/34 IPC and 25/27/54/59 Arms Act.

2. In the first call today, none appeared for accused/applicant so the matter was passed over keeping in mind its old pendency. This matter was heard for the first time on 23.04.2024 by the predecessor bench and thereafter, it remained pending before different benches. Finally, along with 179 similar old pending bail applications, it was transferred to this bench

*BAIL APPLN. 1366/2024**Page 1 of 4 pages*GIRISH
KATHPALIADigitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.22=40154888927270205099Adu45566f962:cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA,
serialNumber=1150033, email=,
serialNumber=1150033, email=, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
402440790509916206, c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
Date: 2026.03.11 17:36:47 +05'00'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: DIKSHA KAWAT
Signing Date: 11.03.2026
17:36:47



2026:DHC:2027



and today is the first hearing before me.

3. In this second call, I have heard learned counsel for accused/applicant and learned APP for State assisted by IO/Inspector Rakesh Kumar. I have also heard learned counsel for complainant *de facto*.

4. Broadly speaking, circumstances relevant for present purposes are as follows. The FIR was registered on the basis of statement of injured, who stated that on the ill fated night, at about 11:50 pm, the accused/applicant and co-accused Amit @ Mitta apprehended Abhishek, cousin of the injured complainant *de facto*. The accused/applicant was carrying a country made pistol while Amit was carrying a knife. In the presence of the injured, the accused/applicant fired a shot at Abhishek and co-accused Amit @ Mitta inflicted knife blows. When the injured tried to save himself by running away, the accused/applicant fired a shot which hit his shoulder. On being taken to hospital, Abhishek was declared dead. The injured complainant *de facto* was administered medical treatment in the hospital.

5. In the above backdrop, learned counsel for accused/applicant contends that since trial is going on slow pace, he deserves to be released on bail. It is also contended that co-accused Ajay, who exhorted the remaining two accused persons, has been granted bail, so the accused/applicant deserves same relief. Learned counsel for accused/applicant has also shown me copies of the ordersheets of the trial court in support of his contention of slow trial. It is submitted by learned counsel that the accused/applicant is in

BAIL APPLN. 1366/2024

Page 2 of 4 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=a4516888027a7b20a0f9f9a4556a7f9a2c0b4815451f
97026caca, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN=7047638,
serialNumber=110803, st=DELHI,
serialNumber=c3a86796451ac45c0765d1537099b40f892d2aee064
02c4879607801c205a, c=IN, o=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.03.11 17:36:47 +05'30'

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By: DIKSHA KAWAT
Signing Date: 11.03.2026
17:36:47



6. On the other hand, learned APP and learned counsel for complainant *de facto* strongly oppose the bail application in view of severity of offence and apprehension that if released on bail, the accused/applicant would threaten the eyewitness/injured who is yet to be examined in trial. As regards the time so far spent by the accused/applicant in jail, it is submitted that the offence alleged against him is punishable with death. Learned APP has also referred to the Nominal Rolls reflecting serious charges against the accused/applicant in jail.

8. Apart from delay in trial, no other ground to seek bail has been raised.

9. Considering the above circumstances, especially gravity of offence where one person was shot dead and the other was shot at, I do not find it a

Page 3 of 4 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d889b27a77b265ff8f4efac45569a3962c6b4835d4351
7626acca, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN=70476318,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15570996b40f80cbcd2ee6e040
2c487965ff8f01e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.03.31 12:27:08 +0700

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By: DIKSHA RAWAT
Signing Date: 11.03.2026
17:36:47



2026:DHC:2027



fit case to grant bail. Therefore, the bail application and the accompanying application are dismissed.

10. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for being conveyed to the accused/applicant.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afe,
c45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID - 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d1
5570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff8
01e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.03.11 17:27:20 -07'00'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

MARCH 11, 2026/dr