



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ BAIL APPLN. 1876/2026 & CRL.M.A. 15147/2026 & CRL.M.A.
15148/2026

SAHIL SALEEM KHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dev Inder Singh, Mr. Sumit and
Mr. Sayam, Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State
with SI K.L. Kuldeep, PS Sarai
Rohilla

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

%

13.05.2026

1. Applicant seeks regular bail in case FIR No. 761/2024 dated 15.12.2024 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for commission of offence 109(1) of *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023* (corresponding Section 307 IPC).
2. Charge-sheet has already been filed and charges have also been framed on 04.08.2025.
3. The aforesaid FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by complaint Gopi Bharat Panwar in which he, *inter alia*, claimed that applicant/accused Sahil had hit him with a hammer on his head with intention to kill him and, thereafter, when his wife Rani intervened, she was also attacked with knife and was given knife injury in her abdominal region and near her left ear. So much so, thereafter, Saraspati @ Doli (sister-in-law of

BAIL APPLN. 1876/2026

1



complainant) intervened and even she was given injuries.

4. Applicant was arrested on 15.12.2024 and is in continuous incarceration since then.

5. It is submitted that despite the fact that the charges were ascertained on 04.08.2025, prosecution has yet not been able to produce even a single witness. Next date before the learned Trial Court is stated to be 09.06.2026.

6. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for applicant, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, does not press the present bail application. He, however, seeks direction to the prosecution to ensure the presence of the material witnesses i.e. all injured before the learned Trial Court so that there is no frustration of his valuable right of having a speedy trial as enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

7. Learned Addl. P.P. for State submits that investigating officer is present and he would ensure the presence of all the material witnesses before the learned Trial Court on the aforesaid date.

8. In view of the above, the present applicant is disposed of as not pressed.

9. All rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.

10. The prosecution would ensure the presence of aforesaid witnesses before the learned Trial Court on the next date of hearing and if there is no progress in the matter and if either injured persons do not appear before the learned Trial Court or do not depose, applicant would be at liberty to file fresh application before this Court.

11. Other pending applications also stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MAY 13, 2026/dr/sa

BAIL APPLN. 1876/2026

2