



\$~118

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3686/2026 & CRL.M.A. 14955/2026

MITHLESH JHA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sumit Kumar Chauhan and Mr.
Vipin Nandwani, Advs.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP
Ms. Vinita Sharma, Ms. Vandana
Sharma, Mr. Deep Chand, advs. for
R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **12.05.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter referred to as the 'BNSS') for quashing of the **FIR No. 210/2016**, registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 406/498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter referred to as the 'IPC') and all consequent proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
3. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. The petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi.
5. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no.



1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 06.03.2006, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that the decree of divorce has already been granted by the learned Trial Court. It is stated that the parties have now amicably settled their dispute before Delhi Mediation Centre, Saket Courts *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2024.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Out of the total settled amount of Rs.17,00,000/-, a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- has been handed over to her today in Court by Petitioner No.1 by way of Demand Draft. She further states that she has received all the payments due to her as per settlement and therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above, as the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, **FIR No. 210/2016**, registered at Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 406/498A/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom



are quashed.

9. The present petition, along with pending application, stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

MADHU JAIN, J.

MAY 12, 2026/ys/Av