



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4593/2020 and CM APPL. 16600/2020

CPIO, LIC OF INDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Mahindru, Adv .

versus

T THANKA SHANTI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Aakanksha Kaul, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

19.01.2026

1. Having considered the nature of the directions contained in the impugned order dated 23.01.2020, the Court finds that the same are purely within the realm of advice. It is up to the petitioner, if it thinks fit owing to any justifiable reason, to not follow the advice in question, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take that recourse. It, however, must be placed on record being maintained by the petitioner. As on date, there is no injury, or infringement of legal right, for the redressal of which, a writ can be entertained.
2. With the aforesaid liberty having been granted to the petitioner, the petition, along with pending application, stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 19, 2026

aks/ksr