



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 3594/2026, CRL.M.A. 14581/2026
DINESH KUMAR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.
versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND
ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP with
Ms. Upasana Bakshi, Ms. Divya
Bakshi and Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh, Advs.
Mr. Prince Kumar, Adv. for R-2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
08.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.286/2022 dated 17.12.2022 registered at PS: Hauz Qazi, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/354A/506/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Memorandum of Understanding (*MOU*) dated 24.04.2026 [*Annexure A7*] arrived between the petitioners and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MOU dated 24.04.2026, whereby the petitioner has already paid her a sum of *Rs.50,000/-* out of the total settlement



amount of Rs.3,00,000/- and a Demand Draft dated 13.04.2026 bearing No.051838 of Rs.2,50,000/- (Bank of Baroda) has been handed over in Court to her today as the full and final settlement of all claims.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) to that effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.286/2022 dated 17.12.2022 registered at PS: Hauz Qazi, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/354A/506/34 IPC* and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. The present petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 8, 2026/Ab