



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 235/2025 and I.A. 9469/2025, I.A. 9470/2025
I.A. 9472/2025, I.A. 17432/2025, I.A. 24788/2025, I.A. 32550/2025
and I.A. 3110/2026

SOUTIK GANGOLI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Zeeshan Ahmed, Mr. Mohd.
Noumaan and Mr. Saaurabh Sagar, Advocates.

versus

KUSHALINI PAUL AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Anjali Jha Manish, Mr. Paras
Aneja and Ms. Shrejita Tyagi, Advocates for D1.

Mr. Abhishek Singh and Ms. Vedanshi Jalan,
Advocates for D2.

Ms. Amee Rana, Ms. Prasadhi Agrawal and Ms.
Komal Pathak, Advocates for D4.

Mr. Aditya Mathur, Advocate for D5.

Mr. Amit Bajaj and Mr. Shubham Singh, Advocate
for D11.

Mr. Muneet Bedi and Mr. Raman Kumar,
Advocates for D14.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

13.05.2026

1. Mr. Zeeshan Ahmed, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiff submits that plaintiff has no objection in withdrawing the suit but the only concern is that the offending post is removed by defendant no.1.

2. On the other hand, Ms. Anjali Jha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of defendant no.1, on instructions, submits that once the suit is withdrawn, defendant no.1 will remove the offending post within a period of three days. The statement is taken on record and defendant no.1 is bound



down to the same.

3. In view of the above statement of defendant no.1, the suit is dismissed as withdrawn. However, liberty is reserved with the plaintiff to revive the suit in case the offending post is not removed within three days.

4. Pending applications stand disposed of.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MAY 13, 2026/jg