



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3487/2026**

RAJNI KANT & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Counsel (appearance not given) with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with ASI Vikram Singh, PS:
Karawal Nagar.
Mr. Mamtesh Sharma and Mr.
Saurabh, Advocates for R-2 and R-2
in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

06.05.2026

CRL.M.A. 14144/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3487/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 0237/2016, registered at Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter '*DP Act*') and all consequent proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at



between the parties.

4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Karawal Nagar, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 23.04.2008, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that two children were born out of the said wedlock, out of them, the elder daughter 'R' is in care and custody of petitioner no. 1 and the younger daughter 'P' is in care and custody of respondent no. 2. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 04.11.2025 executed at Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

7. This Court notes that the custody of elder daughter 'R' is in care and custody of petitioner no. 1 and the younger daughter 'P' is in care and custody of respondent no. 2 and the future rights of the children will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is stated that she has received the amount of Rs. 7,00,000/- *vide* Demand Draft (DD) bearing no. 169497 dated 27.04.2026. Therefore, she



has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. Although, the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have not been filed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, however, the parties will remain bound by it.

10. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 0237/2016, registered at Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and Section 4 of the DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. The petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 06, 2026/vc/gj