



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1446/2026

SONU DAHIYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Priyal Bhardwaj, Advocate.

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

15.05.2026

Pursuant to last order dated 04.05.2026, the State has filed status report dated 11.05.2026. The status report confirms that the medical documents furnished by the petitioner alongwith the petition have been found to be genuine.

2. Ms. Priyal Bhardwaj, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits, that the jail authorities have no power to extend furlough; and it is therefore the petitioner's prayer that he be granted his second spell of furlough back-to-back with the existing furlough, in order for the petitioner to get complete treatment for his ears, failing which he may lose his power of hearing.
3. Mr. Kshitiz Garg, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Amol Sinha, learned ASC for the State submits, that apart from the fact that the jail authorities do not have the power to extend furlough (which is counted towards sentence undergone), Rule 1212 of the Delhi Prison Rules, 2018 also requires that there must be at least 01-month gap between the last furlough and parole; and that therefore the jail authorities are unable to either extend furlough or grant parole.



4. Communication dated 04.05.2026 issued by the prison authorities declining the petitioner's request for extension of furlough/parole has been handed-up by the State; and the same is taken on record.
5. Ms. Bhardwaj submits, that the petitioner is suffering from a precarious medical condition, and the court may mould the relief appropriately in its exercise of extraordinary writ jurisdiction, so that the petitioner is able to attend to his medical condition.
6. Upon a conspectus of the foregoing and since the medical documents appended to the petition have been found to be genuine, this court is persuaded to grant to the petitioner *parole for a period of 04 weeks subject to* the same conditions that were imposed by the prison authorities *vide* order dated 01.04.2026 while granting furlough.
7. It is made clear that no further extension will be granted to the petitioner.
8. In order to obviate any confusion at a subsequent stage, it is clarified that the extension granted to the petitioner *vide* order dated 04.05.2026 till today, shall be counted towards his second spell of furlough.
9. Petition stands disposed-of in the above terms.
10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MAY 15, 2026

ds