



\$~92 and 93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5281/2024 and CM APPL. 21589/2024, CM APPL. 73016/2024

M/S INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LTD.Petitioner

Through: None

versus

LAND DEVELOPMENT OFFICE AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Nidhi Ramam CGSC with Mr. Akash Mishra, Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocates for UOI.
Mr. Anil Kaushik ASG along with Mr. Pranjal Singh, Advocates for respondent no. 3.

93

+ W.P.(C) 5301/2024

KAVITA CHOPRAPetitioner

Through: None

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Anil Kaushik ASG along with Mr. Pranjal Singh, Advocates for respondent no. 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

25.03.2026

1. The matters have been listed before the Court on oral request of Mr.



Pranjal Singh, learned counsel for respondent no.3, who states that due to an inadvertent error, appearance on behalf of respondent no.3 could not be marked in the judgment dated 16.03.2026.

2. In judgment dated 16.03.2026, let the appearance of Mr. Anil Kaushik, Additional Solicitor General along with Mr Pranjal Singh, Advocate be marked on behalf of the respondent no. 3 - Supreme Court of India in both the writ petitions. Accordingly, let the judgment dated 16.03.2026 be got deleted from the site of Delhi High Court and be re-uploaded after making the aforesaid correction.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 25, 2026

ar