



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3464/2026

RUBY NEWTON

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Deeksha Sharma, Adv. with
petitioner in person

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the
State with Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Mr.
Aditya Vikram Singh and Mr.
Gourav Singh, Advs. with SI Sunit,
PS: Jagat Puri
Mr. Nitesh Gupta, Adv. for R-2
with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

05.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.382/2022 dated 21.10.2022 registered at PS: Jagat Puri, Delhi under *Sections 379* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 28.04.2026 [*Annexure B*] arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accept notice and affirm the terms of the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding dated 28.04.2026,



whereby the petitioner has already paid her settlement amount of Rs.12,00,000/- in compliance thereof. Respondent no.2 further submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. The facts disclose that present petition is accompanied by a settlement already arrived voluntarily between the petitioner and respondent no.2 as also their respective affidavit(s) to the said effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.382/2022 dated 21.10.2022 registered at PS: Jagat Puri, Delhi under *Sections 379* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MAY 05, 2026/So