



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CONT.CAS(C) 786/2026 & CM APPL. 29709/2026 (Delay),
CM APPL. 29710/2026 (Delay)

SIDDHARTHA MUKHERJEEPetitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

SHRI VIKAS KAUSHAL AND ANRRespondents

Through: Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

11.05.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, 1950, seeks the following prayers:-

“a. Initiate appropriate proceedings and hold the Respondents guilty of civil contempt under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for wilful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 18.09.2019 passed in W.P.(C) No. 4928/2019;

b. Direct the Respondents to forthwith comply with the said order by filing, on affidavit, the reasons for withholding and/or . deducting the salary of the Petitioner, as directed by this Hon'ble Court;

c. Pass appropriate orders for securing compliance of the aforesaid directions, including such further directions as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case;

d. Pass such other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may



deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

3. The petitioner, appears in-person and submits that the directions contained order dated 18.09.2019 passed by learned Single Judge in W.P.(C) 4928/2019 have not been complied with. The relevant directions for which alleged non-compliance has been claimed is reproduced hereunder:-

“At this stage, Mr. Dutta, ld. Sr. Counsel comes forward to state that the reasons for the non-payment and/or deductions from the salary of the petitioner from April, 2019 shall be put before this Court. Let it be so done by way of an affidavit within a week.”

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents, who appears on an advance notice, has handed up in Court an order dated 24.11.2022 passed in the aforesaid writ petition wherein, it has been recorded as under: -

“1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in terms of order dated 18.09.2019, the respondents have explained the reasons for deductions made from the salaries of the petitioner from April 2019, by way of the present application, which has been filed alongwith supporting documents.

2. The record shows that till date, no notice has been issued in the application.

3. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the petitioner accepts notice. He prays for, and is granted, four weeks’ time to file a reply. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

4. List on 28.03.2023.”

The aforesaid order is taken on record.

5. The record would further reflect that the said writ petition was disposed of *vide* judgment dated 18.08.2023 and challenged to the said order at the



instance of the petitioner herein both in LPA as well as SLP against the said judgment was dismissed.

6. It is pertinent to note that a similar application was preferred by the petitioner in the said writ petition being **CM NO. 14726/2026** which was also dismissed by the learned Single Judge *vide* order dated 11.03.2026.

7. In view of the above, this Court finds no ground to initiate any proceedings under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

8. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed and disposed of.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court, *forthwith*.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 11, 2026/nk