



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3380/2026, CRL.M.A. 13661/2026-Exp.

RAHUL CHAUHAN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Hemant Kunal, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh
and Ms. Apoorva Khosla,
Advocates with SI Reena, SI
Kashish
Mr. Harshvardhan Singh Sood, Mr.
Dinesh Kr., Advocates for R-2 in
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

04.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India and *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.185/2024 dated 22.04.2024 registered at PS: Dwarka North, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2025 [**Annexure P-2**] arrived between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.

2. Issue notice.



3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2025, in compliance whereof the petitioners have already paid her a sum of Rs.28,20,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 *vide* Decree dated 13.01.2026, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the private parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.185/2024 dated 22.04.2024 registered at PS: Dwarka North, Delhi under *Sections*



498A/406/34 of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. As such, the present petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 4, 2026/So