



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5960/2026, CM APPL. 29225/2026 & CM APPL. 29226/2026

BABITA VASWANI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Raghav, Mr. Prakash Srivastava, Ms. Smriti Dubey and Mr. Rajan Thakur, Advocates.

versus

**MUNCIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
AND ORS**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Akash Chatterjee, Advocate for MCD.
Mr. Tushar Sannu, Mr. Vaibhav Tripathi and Ms. Payal Rajput, Advocates for DJB.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar and Mr. Siddharth Shekhar, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **30.04.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

- (i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, including a writ of mandamus, directing Respondent No. 2 (Delhi Jal Board) to ensure completion of the duly sanctioned sewer connection in respect of property bearing House No. 93 (Old No. 122-A), Sant Nagar, East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065, including connection of the Petitioner's private drain to the public sewer, strictly in accordance with law and the sanction already granted, and without any undue delay or obstruction;



- (ii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, including a writ of mandamus, directing Respondent No. 1 (MCD) to facilitate, supervise and ensure timely completion of the necessary road-cutting and restoration work in relation to the aforesaid sewer connection on the public road/portion under its control, in accordance with law;
- (iii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to coordinate with each other and take all necessary steps to ensure that the aforesaid sewer connection and allied road-cutting work are carried out and completed expeditiously and without any hindrance, obstruction, or delay;

2. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.1/MCD and respondent no.2/ DJB submit that they have granted permission to the petitioner to connect the sewer from his property to the main sewer line and MCD has also given permission for road cutting for this purpose, upon the petitioner paying the requisite charges.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has paid the requisite charges. He further submits that neighbours in the area are creating hindrances for the petitioner to carry out the road cutting exercise.

4. In these circumstances, the petitioner would be at liberty to take appropriate steps in accordance with law, including approaching the concerned police station *i.e.*, respondent no.3/ SHO, P.S. Amar Colony for carrying out the road cutting exercise in terms of the permission granted.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of.

6. The pending applications also stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 30, 2026

Vivek/-