



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5918/2026**

VASUDEV EXCELLENCE COLLEGE & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Pankaj Kumar Ray, Advocates.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi,
Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **07.05.2026**

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

“a. issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ or order holding that the withdrawal order dated 02.01.2018 issued by WRC stands quashed/ annulled by the order dated 31.12.2018 passed by NCTE Appeal Committee; and/or

b. issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ or order or direction to the Respondents to issue the order of restoration of recognition for B.Ed Course with 100 seats (two basic units); and/or

c. issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ or order or direction to the Respondents to display the name of Petitioner institution in the list/ category of recognised institutions for conducting B.Ed Course on their website along



with recognition/ restoration order for B.Ed Course and to inform the Affiliating University as well as Department of Higher Education, Government of Madhya Pradesh communicating the restoration order and recognition status of petitioner institution for conducting B.Ed Course with 100 seats (two basic units) enabling the petitioner to participate in the counselling & admission process for the academic year 2026- 27 and for subsequent years. ...”

2. Mr. Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner was granted recognition by WRC on 03.03.2014 for conducting B.Ed Course with an annual intake of 100 seats. However, the recognition granted to the petitioner was withdrawn on 02.01.2018.
3. He submits that statutory appeal was preferred by the petitioner and on 31.12.2018 the matter was remanded by the Appellate Committee to WRC to take further decision and withdrawal order was kept in abeyance.
4. He submits that the only grievance of the petitioner is that while the matter was remanded by the Appellate Committee but it did not quash the withdrawal order dated 02.01.2018.
5. Mr. Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent/NCTE, on instructions, affirms the aforesaid factual position.
6. In view of the above, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the present petition by clarifying that the order dated 31.12.2018 passed by the Appellate Committee would be treated as quashing of the withdrawal order dated 02.01.2018.
7. Let WRC take a fresh decision and communicate the same to the petitioner.



8. The WRC is directed to issue an order of restoration of recognition within a period of one week from today, which will govern the rights and contentions of the parties, until and unless fresh withdrawal order is passed by the WRC.
9. The petitioner will be permitted to participate in the counselling and admit students for the academic session 2026-27 and also subsequently, till the time a fresh withdrawal order is passed against it.
10. The status of petitioners as recognized institution will also be reflected on the official website of respondents/NCTE and will be communicated by the NCTE to petitioners' affiliating body as well as to the concerned department of the State Government within 1 week.
11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MAY 7, 2026/AS