



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6553/2023 and CM APPL. 25690/2023, CM APPL. 25691/2023, CM APPL. 12468/2026

AJAY KUMAR GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Malhotra, Mr. Chirag Goyal, Ms. Manika Mudgal, Advocates.

versus

DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr Sonal Anand Ms Surbhi Singh
Advocates for Respondent /DSIIDC.
Mr. Ravinder Singh, Ms. Raveesha Gupta, Mr. Ritvik Bhardwaj, Ms. Nishita Kushwaha for applicant in CM APPL. 12468/2026.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

24.02.2026

1. The petitioner is the real brother of Mr. Pawan Kumar Gupta. There seems to be a dispute pending between them. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, confines his petition to the extent of looking into the grievance raised by the petitioner *qua* transfer of Shed No. 47, Okhla Industrial Area, Phase-I, New Delhi by resorting to illegal means in connivance with the officials of Delhi State Industrial and Infrastructure



Corporation Ltd. (DSI IDC). The disputes between the petitioner and his brother are pending before various Courts including the complaint case filed by the petitioner.

2. In view thereof, the Court is not inclined to go into the merits of the allegation or otherwise. However, the Court leaves it open to DSI IDC to look into the aspect of any failure of Government Officials in adhering to applicable rules and regulations.

3. With the aforesaid observations and leaving all questions open, the petition, along with pending applications, stands disposed of.

4. In view of the aforesaid order, the CM APPL. 12468/2026 filed by the impleadment applicant for his impleadment as respondent, also stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 24, 2026

aks/ap