



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 5891/2026 & CM APPL. 28906/2026**
DR PRADEEP KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Garg, Mr. Arihant Jain and
Ms. Ruchika Dhingra, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC (GNCTD
Services) with Mr. N.K. Singh, and
Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% **30.04.2026**

1. The Petitioner was appointed as Chairman of the Examining Body for Para-Medical Training for Bharatiya Chikitsa, Delhi, constituted in terms of Section 33 of the Delhi Bharatiya Chikitsa Parishad Act, 1998. The present petition has been filed seeking directions for release of honorarium, allowances, and other dues allegedly payable to the Petitioner for the period during which he discharged his functions as Chairman.
2. Mr. Utsav Garg, counsel for the Petitioner, submits that while certain payments were released till the year 2020, substantial dues thereafter remain unpaid. It is contended that the Petitioner's entitlement flows from the statutory framework as well as office orders and resolutions passed by the Examining Body from time to time. Particular reliance is placed on paragraph 16 of the petition, wherein the outstanding dues have been



tabulated, including arrears which are stated to have been expressly approved in the meeting of the Examining Body held on 09th March, 2022.

3. Mr. Garg further submits that the Petitioner has made repeated representations to the Respondents, including communications dated 31st October, 2025, 1st December, 2025, 23rd March, 2026, and 15th April, 2026, seeking release of the aforesaid dues. However, no decision has been taken thereon, nor has any communication been issued to the Petitioner indicating the status of his claim.

4. Having considered the submissions made and upon perusal of the material placed on record, this Court finds that the Petitioner has placed reliance on various office orders, minutes of meetings, and the governing statutory provisions in support of his claim. *Prima facie*, the claims do appear to be supported by the record. However, the determination of the exact amount payable, including verification of the Petitioner's attendance, admissibility of claims, and applicability of the relevant office orders, would require examination by the competent authority.

5. In view of the above, and noting that the Respondents are yet to take a considered decision on the Petitioner's representations, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition with a direction to the Respondents to examine the Petitioner's claim.

6. Accordingly, the Respondents are directed to consider and decide the Petitioner's representation dated 31st October, 2025, along with subsequent communications, within a period of eight weeks from today. In the event the amounts claimed, or any part thereof, are found to be due and payable in accordance with the applicable statutory provisions and office orders, the same shall be released to the Petitioner expeditiously. In case any portion of



the claim is found to be not payable, a reasoned and speaking order shall be passed and communicated to the Petitioner within the aforesaid period.

7. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the Petitioner's claim. In the event the Petitioner remains aggrieved by the decision taken by the Respondents, he shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies in accordance with law.

8. With the above directions, the present petition, along with the pending application, stands disposed of.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

APRIL 30, 2026

as