



\$~18 to 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 590/2024

(18) DR RAJINDER KUMAR TRISALPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

DR RESHMI SINGH & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Rushab Aggarwal (SC) along
with Mrs. Riddhima Aggarwal, Mr.
Japnish Singh Bhalla, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 591/2024

(19) DR RAVI RAMAN DHARPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

DR RESHMI SINGH & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Rushab Aggarwal (SC) along
with Mrs. Riddhima Aggarwal, Mr.
Japnish Singh Bhalla, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 592/2024

(20) DR CHAMAN LAL DULLOOPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

DR RESHMI SINGH & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Rushab Aggarwal (SC) along
with Mrs. Riddhima Aggarwal, Mr.
Japnish Singh Bhalla, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 593/2024

(21) DR BHARAT BHUSHAN KACHROOPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

DR RESHMI SINGH & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Rushab Aggarwal (SC) along
with Mrs. Riddhima Aggarwal, Mr.
Japnish Singh Bhalla, Adv.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 594/2024

(22) DR UPENDER KRISHEN WALIPetitioner

Through: None.

versus



DR RESHMI SINGH & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rushab Aggarwal (SC) along
with Mrs. Riddhima Aggarwal, Mr.
Japnish Singh Bhalla, Advs.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 595/2024

(23) DR VIJAY KUMAR KACHROO

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

DR RESHMI SINGH & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rushab Aggarwal (SC) along
with Mrs. Riddhima Aggarwal, Mr.
Japnish Singh Bhalla, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **17.02.2026**

1. None appears for the petitioners.
2. The present petitions allege wilful disobedience/ non-compliance of the directions contained in the judgment dated 08.05.2023 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 7917/2019. The operative direction contained therein reads as under:-

“16. In view of the aforesaid findings, the impugned orders granting second and third time-bound promotions to the Petitioner from 16.03.2017 instead of 01.04.1995 and 01.04.2000 respectively, are required to be modified to a limited extent by deleting the words ‘notional’ and ‘monetary’ and holding that the Petitioner shall be entitled to all monetary effects ensuing from the two time-bound promotions from the respective dates from which the promotions have been actually granted. It is further directed that Respondents shall re-fix the salary and emoluments of the Petitioner, if required, and calculate the arrears due from the dates of second and third time bound promotions and disburse the arrears within three months from today. Failure to release the arrears within the time granted by the Court will entail granting simple interest @ 9% p.a. from the dates the amount fell due till actual payment. These directions are being passed in respect of all Petitioners in the aforementioned six petitions.”

3. It transpires during the course of hearing that an LPA came to be



filed against the aforesaid judgment being LPA Nos. 872/2024, 876/2024, 877/2024, 879/2024, 882/2024, 883/2024, which has been dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court *vide* judgment dated 10.10.2025. The operative portion thereof reads as under:-

25. In view of the fact that the Government of Jammu & Kashmir has already accepted the Judgment passed by this Court in Umesh Kumar Moza (supra) which has been copiously quoted in the Impugned Judgment and the learned Single Judge is in agreement with the findings contained therein regarding territorial jurisdiction, this Court is of the opinion that the State ought to have extended the relief granted to Umesh Kumar Moza to other similarly placed Doctors without forcing the hapless doctors approaching this Court seeking the same relief.

26. In view of the above, this Court also deems it fit to waive the litigation costs of Rs. 10,000/- in favor of each of the Petitioner imposed by the learned Single Judge.

27. The Appellant is directed to implement the judgment of the learned Single Judge within ten weeks from the date of upload of this Judgment.

28. With these observations, the appeals are dismissed along with pending application(s), if any.

4. In the circumstances, it is incumbent on the respondents to act in terms of the aforesaid judgment dated 08.05.2023 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 7917/2019 and comply with the directions contained therein. Let the same be done expeditiously

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that an exercise is ongoing to ascertain the financial impact of the judgment. He assures and undertakes that requisite steps shall be taken expeditiously to adhere/ comply with the orders passed by this Court. He submits that the Department is also in the process of taking legal opinion to consider whether



it would be advisable to file an SLP in the Supreme Court.

6. In the circumstances, it is directed that unless compliance is obviated on account of any interim orders passed by the Supreme Court, the respondents shall comply with the concerned directions therein within a period of eight weeks from today.

7. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

8. In the event of non-compliance, the petitioners shall be at liberty to revive the present proceedings.

SACHIN DATTA, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2026/uk