



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3301/2026**

MANOO MAHARAJ RISHI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Mamta Garg, Mr. Anmol Aggarwal, Ms. Mukta Garg and Mr. Vishal Choudhary, Advs. with petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the State with Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Mr. Apoorv Khosla and Ms. Vanshika Singh, Advocates
SI Pooja and SI Usha Rawat PS.: Malviya Nagar, Delhi
Mr. Ravi Sharma, Ms. Srishti Sharma, Mr. Pulkit Luthra and Mr. Harshit Luthra, Advs. for R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

29.04.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 34/2018 dated 13.02.2018 registered at PS.: Malviya Nagar, Delhi under *Section 498A/406/377/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Memorandum of Understanding (**MoU**) dated 10.12.2025 [**Annexure F**] arrived between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by their



respective proofs of identities.

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid MoU dated 10.12.2025, whereby the petitioner no.1 has already paid her a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.20,00,000/- and a Demand Draft dated 30.03.2026 bearing No.003236 of Rs.10,00,000/- (HDFC Bank) has been handed over in Court to her today as the full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 *vide* judgment dated 17.01.2026, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) to that effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvarshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raghuvarshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder***



Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.34/2018 dated 13.02.2018 registered at PS.: Malviya Nagar, Delhi under *Section 498A/406/377/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Thus, the present petition is allowed and disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 29, 2026/bh