



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5741/2026**

JAN SEVA WELFARE SOCIETY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yogesh Goel, Mr. Aryan Singh,
Mr. Ankit Garg, Mr. Yeshpal Saini,
Mr. Nehil Gulati, Ms. Mrinali Gupta
and Mr. Sagar, Advocates.
Petitioner-in-person.

versus

**GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI AND
ORS**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vivekanand Mishra, Advocate
for R-2.
Mr. Siddhant Nath, Mr. Bhavishya
Makhija and Mr. Amaan Khan,
Advocates for MCD.
Ms. Kritika Gupta and Ms. Vidushi
Singhania, Advocates for DDA.
Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr. Moksh
Arora and Mr. Santosh Ramdurg,
Advocates for R-7.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
28.04.2026

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-



- (a) Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the Respondent authorities to forthwith remove all illegal encroachments, unauthorised food carts, vendors and commercial activities from Government land, public roads and footpaths at Road No. 43, Hari Kishan Marg, Rani Bagh, Delhi-110034;
- (b) Direct the Respondent authorities to strictly enforce the provisions of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957, the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act, 2014, the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, the Delhi Police Act, 1978, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the Electricity Act, 2003 and the Delhi Water Board Act, 1998, and to take penal action against all persons found indulging in unauthorised vending, obstruction of public ways, and theft of public utilities;
- (c) Direct the Respondent authorities to fix responsibility and accountability of the concerned Beat Officer (Beat No. 5), SHO, PS Rani Bagh, and other responsible officials for their continued inaction and non-compliance with Standing Order No. L&O/82/2022 and statutory duties, and to initiate appropriate departmental/disciplinary proceedings against erring officials;

2. The present writ petition has been filed by a society, namely, Jan Seva Welfare Society (Regd.). Paragraph 2 of the writ petition is set out below:-

2. That the present petition is being for the benefit of local residents, commuters, shopkeepers, pedestrians and public at large, who have been persistently aggrieved by large-scale illegal encroachment of Government land and public footpaths by unauthorised food carts and vendors in the area of Road No. 43, Hari Kishan Marg, Rani Bagh, Delhi-110034. However, as the president and few members of the petitioner society are also the local residents and frequent commuters of the said road, the present petition is not preferred as a Public Interest Litigation (PIL).

3. A perusal of paragraph 2 set out above clearly shows that the petition has been instituted by the President and a few members of the society in



their capacity of being local residents and frequent commuters on the road where the alleged encroachment exists. It is also evident from a reading of paragraph 2 above that the present petition has not been filed in the nature of a Public Interest Litigation by the petitioner society.

4. In light of the aforesaid averments, the petitioner society has no *locus standi* to file the present writ petition.

5. Accordingly, I am not inclined to entertain the present writ petition and the same is dismissed.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 28, 2026

Vivek/-