



\$~24 & 25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2268/2025 & CRL.M.A. 10197/2025**

ROHIT SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mohit Agarwal, Mr. Sujeet
Beriwal, Mr. Hitesh Kumar, Mr.
Tushar Rohmetra, Mr. Akash Pathak,
Mr. Anubhav Sharma and Mr. Rut
Pawar, Advocates with petitioner in
person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
SI Jyoti, P.S.: Rajinder Nagar.
Mr. Dinhar Takiar, Ms. Harshita
Takiar and Mr. Karanbir Singh,
Advocates for R-2 with R-2 in person.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 63/2022, CRL.M.A.s 1827/2022, 6783/2022, 7774/2022**
ROHIT SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Agarwal, Mr. Sujeet
Beriwal, Mr. Hitesh Kumar, Mr.
Tushar Rohmetra, Mr. Akash Pathak,
Mr. Anubhav Sharma and Mr. Rut
Pawar, Advocates with petitioner in
person.

versus

NUPUR DUA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
SI Jyoti, P.S.: Rajinder Nagar.
Mr. Dinhar Takiar, Ms. Harshita
Takiar and Mr. Karanbir Singh,
Advocates for R-2 with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

21.01.2026

%



CRL.M.C. 2268/2025

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners who are the former husband and in-laws of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 225/2018 dated 06.12.2018 registered under sections 498-A/406/354/506/34/511 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Rajinder Nagar, Central District, Delhi. Consequent upon completion of investigation, offences under and sections 354-A/323/509/377 IPC and sections 27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 have been added *vidé* chargesheet dated 30.10.2019, and offences under sections 336/201/120 IPC have been added *vidé* supplementary chargesheet dated 22.09.2022.

2. The petition is premised on mediated Settlement Agreement dated 27.08.2024 arrived at before the Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre, Delhi High Court, New Delhi; and Divorce Decree dated 19.12.2024, which is the culmination of petitions under sections 13B(1) and 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955, whereby the parties had sought dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that no child was born from the wed-lock. No appeal is stated to have been filed from the divorce decree.



6. Respondent No.2 confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that a settlement deed has been entered into between the parties; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs. 1,05,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore Five Lacs Only) from petitioner No. 1; out of which Rs. 70,00,000/- was paid earlier; and Rs. 35,00,000 /- (Rupees Thirty Five Lacs Only) has been paid in court today, in compliance of the terms of the settlement deed. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
7. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits, that the balance amount of Rs. 35 lacs that were due to respondent No.2 have been remitted by way of Real-Time Gross Settlement ('RTGS') by petitioner No.2 in court today.
8. Since the copy of Settlement Agreement dated 27.08.2025 filed on behalf of the petitioners appears to be incomplete, a complete copy has been handed-up in court. The same is taken on record.
9. Upon being queried, respondent No.2 states that her father has passed-away; and that the pendency of the proceedings would only re-victimise her.
10. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
11. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab &Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh &Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***



reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

12. Accordingly, FIR No. 225/2018 dated 06.12.2018 registered under sections 498-A/406/354/506/34/511 IPC at P.S.: Rajinder Nagar, Central District, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
13. Petition stands disposed-of.
14. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

CRL.REV.P. 63/2022

15. In view of case FIR No. 225/2018 dated 16.12.2018 having been quashed by this court in CRL.M.C. No. 2268/2025, as recorded above, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present revision petition.
16. Accordingly, the present revision petition is disposed-of as withdrawn.
17. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 21, 2026/V.Rawat