



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 5614/2026, CM APPL. 27520/2026 and CM APPL.
27521/2026
MS LUNAR CONSULTANTS AND DEVELOPERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bhadresh Harikant, Adv.
versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, Mr.
Siddhartha Goswami, Mr. Krish
Bhatia, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **29.04.2026**

1. The only contention of the learned counsel representing the Petitioner is that the Petitioner's technical bid has been rejected by the Respondents without disclosing any reason.
2. Learned counsel representing the Respondents submits that there is no objection to supplying the reasons for the rejection of the Petitioner's technical bid.
3. In view of the above, the present Petition is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to supply the reasons for rejecting the Petitioner's technical bid within a period of one week from today.
4. All the pending applications also stand disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J.

APRIL 29, 2026

jai/pal