



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 55/2026, CM APPL. 27205/2026**

ANKUR GALHOTRAAppellant

Through: Mr. Simarpal Singh, Adv.

versus

ATUL SHARMA & ANR.Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **21.05.2026**

CM APPL. 27206/2026 (delay)

1. Service has been reported to be sufficient by the concerned Joint Registrar as the same is stated to be refused.
2. For the reasons stated in the application and since no objection has been filed to the application seeking condonation of delay, the delay of 21 days in filing the appeal is condoned.
3. Application stands disposed of.

FAO(OS) 55/2026, CM APPL. 27205/2026

4. The present appeal has been filed under Section 104 read with Order XLIII of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter "CPC") against the Order dated 27.02.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in I.A. No. 5591/2026 in suit bearing CS(OS) No. 188/2026 titled as *Ankur Galhotra v. Atul Sharma & Anr.*, whereby,



the learned Single Judge did not grant the ad-interim relief to the appellant/plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC.

5. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the grievance of the appellant will be sufficiently met in case the injunction application is heard and decided by the learned Single Judge expeditiously.

6. We hope and trust that the learned Single Judge shall proceed and decide the injunction application expeditiously.

7. In view of the above, the appeal along with pending application, stands disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 21, 2026/*neha/sm*